

# PARAMETER ESTIMATION OF COMPLEX FRACTIONAL ORNSTEIN-UHLENBECK PROCESSES WITH FRACTIONAL NOISE

YONG CHEN, YAOZHONG HU, AND ZHI WANG

**ABSTRACT.** We obtain strong consistency and asymptotic normality of a least squares estimator of the drift coefficient for complex-valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes disturbed by fractional noise, extending the result of Y. Hu and D. Nualart, [Statist. Probab. Lett., 80 (2010), 1030-1038] to a special 2-dimensions. The strategy is to exploit the Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey inequality and complex fourth moment theorems. The main ingredients of this paper are the sample path regularity of a multiple Wiener-Itô integral and two equivalent conditions of complex fourth moment theorems in terms of the contractions of integral kernels and complex Malliavin derivatives.

**Keywords :** Complex Wiener-Itô multiple integral; fractional Brownian motion; fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process; fourth moment theorems; strong consistency; asymptotic normality.

**MSC 2000:**60H07; 60F05; 62M09.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

To model the Chandler wobble, or variation of latitude concerning with the rotation of the earth, M. Arató, A.N. Kolmogorov and Ya.G. Sinai [2] (see also [1]) proposed the following stochastic linear equation

$$dZ_t = -\gamma Z_t dt + \sqrt{a} d\zeta_t, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $Z_t = X_1(t) + iX_2(t)$  is a complex-valued process,  $\gamma = \lambda - i\omega$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $a > 0$  and  $\zeta_t$  is a complex Brownian motion. It is also suggested in [1] that the Brownian motion in (1.1) may be replaced by other processes. In this paper we consider the statistical estimator of  $\gamma$  when the complex Brownian motion  $\zeta$  in (1.1) is replaced by a complex fractional Brownian motion  $\zeta_t = \frac{B_t^1 + iB_t^2}{\sqrt{2}}$ , where  $(B_t^1, B_t^2)$  is a two dimensional fractional Brownian motion with  $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}]$ . [We shall fix the Hurst parameter and then omit the explicit dependence of the process on the Hurst parameter.] From now on we assume that  $\zeta$  is a complex fractional Brownian motion of Hurst parameter  $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$ .

To compare with the work in [9], we write (1.1) as

$$\begin{bmatrix} dX_1(t) \\ dX_2(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & -\omega \\ \omega & -\lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} X_1(t) \\ X_2(t) \end{bmatrix} dt + \sqrt{\frac{a}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} dB_t^1 \\ dB_t^2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.2)$$

Thus (1.1) can be considered as a particular two dimensional Langevin equation driven by fractional Brownian motions. However, we find it is more convenient to use the complex valued equation (1.1).

Motivated by the work of Hu and Nualart [9] we also consider a least squares estimator for  $\gamma$ . To this end, we intuitively rewrite (1.1) as

$$\dot{Z}_t + \gamma Z_t = \sqrt{a} \dot{\zeta}_t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

We minimize  $\int_0^T |\dot{Z}_t + \gamma Z_t|^2 dt$  to obtain a least squares estimator of  $\gamma$  as follows.

$$\hat{\gamma}_T = -\frac{\int_0^T \bar{Z}_t dZ_t}{\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt} = \gamma - \sqrt{a} \frac{\int_0^T \bar{Z}_t d\zeta_t}{\int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt}. \quad (1.3)$$

The main results of the present paper are the strong consistency and the asymptotic normality of the estimator  $\hat{\gamma}_T$  which we state as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $H \in [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ .*

- (i) *The least squares estimator  $\hat{\gamma}_T$  is strongly consistent. Namely,  $\hat{\gamma}_T$  converges to  $\gamma$  almost surely as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .*
- (ii)  *$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma)$  is asymptotically normal. Namely,*

$$\sqrt{T}[\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma] \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2d^2a}\mathbf{C}) \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

where  $\mathbf{C} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 + c & b \\ b & \sigma^2 - c \end{bmatrix}$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 &= \frac{2}{\Gamma(2-2H)^2} \int_{[0,\infty)^2} dx dy \frac{(xy)^{1-2H}}{(x+y)(x+\bar{\gamma})(y+\gamma)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma^2(2H-1)}{2\lambda} \left( \frac{2}{|\gamma|^{4H-2}} + \frac{1}{\gamma^{4H-2}} + \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}^{4H-2}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

$$c + ib = \frac{2}{\Gamma(2-2H)^2} \int_{[0,\infty)^2} \frac{(xy)^{1-2H}}{(y+\gamma)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{x+y} + \frac{1}{x+\gamma} \right] dx dy. \quad (1.6)$$

$$d = \frac{\Gamma(2H-1)}{2\lambda} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^{2H-1}} + \frac{1}{\bar{\gamma}^{2H-1}} \right). \quad (1.7)$$

In the special case when  $H = \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\sqrt{T}[\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma] \xrightarrow{law} \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\lambda}{4a} \text{Id}_2), \quad (1.8)$$

where  $\text{Id}_2$  is a  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix.

**Remark 1.2.** *An important new feature for the case of fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process ( $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$ ) is that the limiting distribution is no longer independent Gaussian as in the case of Brownian motion case ( $H = 1/2$ ). We will discuss exclusively the case  $H \neq 1/2$  since the case  $H = 1/2$  is easy.*

A minor difference between the case of one dimensional fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process considered in [9] and our complex case is that in our least squares estimator  $\hat{\gamma}$  defined by (1.3), we have  $\int_0^T \bar{Z}_t dZ_t$  in the numerator, while in [9] it is  $\int_0^T X_t dX_t$ . However, this minor difference causes a big unpleasant trouble. By using Itô formula the later is expressed as  $X_T^2$  plus another manageable term. This is critical in the proof of the strong consistency of the estimator since it

allows us to use a famous theorem of Pickands in [9]. However, we cannot no longer apply Itô formula to  $\int_0^T \bar{Z}_t dZ_t$  to obtain similar identity. To get around this difficulty we shall use another famous result, the Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey inequality [8, Theorem 2.1].

To show the asymptotic normality, we may use a multi-dimensional fourth moment theorem. However, we develop a complex version of the fourth moment theorem which is easier to use in our case. To state the theorem we denote  $\alpha_H = H(2H - 1)$  and  $\phi(s, t) = \alpha_H |s - t|^{2H-2}$  and define the Hilbert space

$$\mathfrak{H} := L_\phi^2 = \{f \mid f : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, |f|_\phi^2 := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(s)f(t)\phi(s, t)dsdt < \infty\}. \quad (1.9)$$

Now the theorem is stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.3** (Fourth Moment Theorems). *Let  $\{F_k = I_{m,n}(f_k)\}$  with  $f_k \in \mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes m} \otimes \mathfrak{H}_\mathbb{C}^{\otimes n}$  be a sequence of  $(m, n)$ -th complex Wiener-Itô multiple integrals (see the next section for a discussion), with  $m$  and  $n$  fixed and  $m + n \geq 2$ . Suppose that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $E[|F_k|^2] \rightarrow \sigma^2$  and  $E[F_k^2] \rightarrow c + ib$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the absolute value (or modulus) of a complex number and  $c, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) *The sequence  $(\operatorname{Re} F_k, \operatorname{Im} F_k)$  converges in law to a bivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix  $\mathbf{C} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 + c & b \\ b & \sigma^2 - c \end{bmatrix}$ ,*
- (ii)  *$E[|F_k|^4] \rightarrow c^2 + b^2 + 2\sigma^4$ .*
- (iii)  *$\|f_k \otimes_{i,j} f_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-i-j))}} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|f_k \otimes_{i,j} h_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-i-j))}} \rightarrow 0$  for any  $0 < i + j \leq l - 1$  where  $l = m + n$  and  $h_k$  is the kernel of  $\bar{F}_k$ , i.e.,  $\bar{F}_k = I_{n,m}(h_k)$ .*
- (iv)  *$\|DF_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2$ ,  $\|D\bar{F}_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2$  and  $\langle DF_k, D\bar{F}_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}$  converge to a constant in  $L^2(\Omega)$  as  $k$  tends to infinity, where  $D$  is the complex Malliavin derivatives. That is to say,  $\operatorname{Var}(\|DF_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Var}(\|D\bar{F}_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\operatorname{Var}(\langle DF_k, D\bar{F}_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k$  tends to infinity.*

**Remark 1.4.** 1) *If  $m = n$  and  $E[F_k^2] = 0$  or if  $m \neq n$ , then  $\mathbf{C} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\operatorname{Id}_2$ . That is to say, the limit is a complex Gaussian variable  $\mathcal{CN}(0, \sigma^2)$  in this case. Theorem 7 of [11] is concerning multi-dimensional fourth moment theorems, but it requires  $\mathbf{C} = \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\operatorname{Id}$ . Thus, our findings are partly more general.*

- 2) *We give a different and simpler proof of the theorem. The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is shown by an indirect method in [6] and by stein's method in [4]. In this paper, we show that (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) directly. We make use of (iii) to show the asymptotic normality which is simpler than to use (iv) as in previous work [9].*

## 2. PRELIMINARIES: COMPLEX MULTIPLE WIENER-ITÔ INTEGRALS

Denote by  $(B_t, t \geq 0)$  a fBm of Hurst parameter  $H \in (1/2, 3/4)$ . Then Gaussian isonormal process associated with  $\mathfrak{H}$  is given by Wiener integrals with respect to fBm for any deterministic kernel  $\in \mathfrak{H}$  (where  $\mathfrak{H}$  is defined by (1.9)):

$$B(f) = \int_0^\infty f(s)dB_s, \quad \forall f \in \mathfrak{H}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $\tilde{B}(\cdot)$  be an independent copy of the fractional Brownian motion  $B(\cdot)$ . Following the same idea of [6], we define complex Gaussian isonormal process and complex multiple Wiener-Itô integrals with respect to fBm as follows. For any  $f = f_1 + if_2$  with  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{H}$ , define that

$$\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}} := \{f_1 + if_2 : f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{H}\}, \quad \langle f_1 + if_2, f_1 + if_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}}} = \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}} + \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$B(f) = B(f_1) + iB(f_2), \quad \zeta(f) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[B(f) + i\tilde{B}(f)]. \quad (2.3)$$

Then  $\zeta$  is called a complex isonormal Gaussian process over  $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , which is a centered complex Gaussian family satisfying

$$E[\zeta(h)^2] = 0, \quad E[\zeta(g)\overline{\zeta(h)}] = \langle g, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}}}, \quad \forall g, h \in \mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

From now on, without ambiguity, we still denote  $\mathfrak{H}_{\mathbb{C}}$  by  $\mathfrak{H}$ .

**Definition 2.1** (Complex multiple Wiener-Itô integrals). For a fixed  $(p, q)$ , suppose that  $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q}$ , we call  $I_{p,q}(g)$  the complex multiple Wiener-Itô integral of  $g$  with respect to  $\zeta$  (see [6]). And if  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(p+q)}$  then we define

$$I_{p,q}(f) = I_{p,q}(\tilde{f}), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\tilde{f}$  is the symmetrization of  $f$  in the sense of Itô [10]:

$$\tilde{f}(t_1, \dots, t_{p+q}) = \frac{1}{p!q!} \sum_{\pi} \sum_{\sigma} f(t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(p)}, t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(q)}), \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  run over all permutations of  $(1, \dots, p)$  and  $(p+1, \dots, p+q)$  respectively.

It is easy to see that  $\overline{I_{p,q}(f)} = I_{q,p}(\bar{f})$  and

$$E[I_{p,q}(f)\overline{I_{p',q'}(g)}] = \delta_{p,p'}\delta_{q,q'}p!q!\langle \tilde{f}, \tilde{g} \rangle, \quad (\text{Itô's isometry}) \quad (2.6)$$

where the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{p,p'}$  is 1 when  $p'$  is equal to  $p$ , and is 0 otherwise, and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product on  $\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(p+q)}$ . As a consequence,

$$E[|I_{p,q}(f)|^2] = p!q! \|\tilde{f}\|^2 \leq p!q! \|f\|^2, \quad (\text{Itô's isometry}). \quad (2.7)$$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 proceeds through several propositions and lemmas. Firstly, we define the contraction of  $(i, j)$  indices of two symmetric functions.

**Definition 2.2.** For two symmetric functions  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p_1} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q_1}$ ,  $g \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot p_2} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot q_2}$  and  $i \leq p_1 \wedge q_2$ ,  $j \leq q_1 \wedge p_2$ , the contraction of  $(i, j)$  index is defined as (see [5])

$$\begin{aligned} & f \otimes_{i,j} g(t_1, \dots, t_{p_1+p_2-i-j}; s_1, \dots, s_{q_1+q_2-i-j}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{2l}} d\vec{u} d\vec{u}' \phi(u_1, u'_1) \dots \phi(u_i, u'_i) f(t_1, \dots, t_{p_1-i}, u_1, \dots, u_i; s_1, \dots, s_{q_1-j}, v_1, \dots, v_j) \\ & \quad \times g(t_{p_1-i+1}, \dots, t_{p_1-l}, v'_1, \dots, v'_j; s_{q_1-j+1}, \dots, s_{q_1-l}, u'_1, \dots, u'_i) \phi(v_1, v'_1) \dots \phi(v_j, v'_j) d\vec{v} d\vec{v}', \end{aligned}$$

where  $l = i + j$ ,  $p = p_1 + p_2$ ,  $q = q_1 + q_2$ ,  $\vec{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_i)$ ,  $\vec{u}' = (u'_1, \dots, u'_i)$  and  $\vec{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_j)$ ,  $\vec{v}' = (v'_1, \dots, v'_j)$ .

By convention,  $f \otimes_{0,0} g = f \otimes g$  denotes the tensor product of  $f$  and  $g$ . We write  $f \tilde{\otimes}_{p,q} g$  for the symmetrization of  $f \otimes_{p,q} g$ . In what follows, we use the convention  $f \otimes_{i,j} g = 0$  if  $i > p_1 \wedge q_2$  or  $j > q_1 \wedge p_2$ .

Our next result is a technical lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $F = I_{m,n}(f)$  with  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$  and that  $\bar{F} = I_{n,m}(h)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|F|^4] - 2(\mathbb{E}[|F|^2])^2 - |E[F^2]|^2 \\ &= \sum_{0 < i+j < l} \binom{m}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 (m!n!)^2 \|f \otimes_{i,j} f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-i-j))}}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} ((l-r)!)^2 \|\psi_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-r))}}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{0 < i+j < l} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{j} (m!n!)^2 \|f \otimes_{i,j} h\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-i+j))}}^2 \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} (2m-r)!(2n-r)! \|\varphi_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-r))}}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where  $l = m + n$  and

$$\psi_r = \sum_{i+j=r} i!j! \binom{m}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 f \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} h, \quad (2.10)$$

$$\varphi_r = \sum_{i+j=r} i!j! \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{j} f \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} f. \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 4.1 of [5] that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|F|^4] - (\mathbb{E}[|F|^2])^2 \\ &= \sum_{i+j>0} \binom{m}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 (m!n!)^2 \|f \otimes_{i,j} f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-i-j))}}^2 + \sum_{r=1}^l ((l-r)!)^2 \|\psi_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-r))}}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We calculate the term  $\psi_l = f \otimes_{m,n} h$ :

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes_{m,n} h &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{m+n}} d\vec{u} d\vec{u}' d\vec{v} d\vec{v}' \phi(u_1, u'_1) \dots \phi(u_m, u'_m) \phi(v_1, v'_1) \dots \phi(v_n, v'_n) \\ &\quad \times f(u_1, \dots, u_m; v_1, \dots, v_n) h(v'_1, \dots, v'_n; u'_1, \dots, u'_m) \\ &= \|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(m+n)}}^2 = \frac{1}{m!n!} \mathbb{E}[|F|^2], \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

where the last equality is from Ito's isometry (2.7). Next, we calculate the term  $f \otimes_{m,n} f$  in Eq.(2.12) according to whether  $m = n$  or not. We consider the case  $m \neq n$  first. Without loss generality we can take  $m > n$ . By Definition 2.2 we have that if  $i > n$  or  $j > n$  then  $f \otimes_{i,j} f = 0$ . Therefore, if  $m \neq n$  then

$$f \otimes_{m,n} f = 0 = \mathbb{E}[F_k^2], \quad (2.14)$$

where the last equality is Itô's isometry (2.6). If  $m = n$ , similarly to show (2.13), we obtain that

$$f \otimes_{m,m} f = \langle f, h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(m+n)}} = \frac{1}{(m!)^2} \mathbb{E}[F^2]. \quad (2.15)$$

Substituting (2.15) or (2.14) according to whether  $m = n$  or not, and (2.13), into (2.12), we obtain (2.8). Similarly, we can show (2.9).  $\square$

**Notation 1.** Suppose that  $f(\vec{t}^m, \vec{s}^n) \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$ . Denote

$$f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n) = f(\vec{t}^{m-1}, u, \vec{s}^n), \quad f^v(\vec{t}^m, \vec{s}^{n-1}) = f(\vec{t}^m, \vec{s}^{n-1}, v). \quad (2.16)$$

Clearly,  $f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n) \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m-1} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n}$  and  $f^v(\vec{t}^m, \vec{s}^{n-1}) \in \mathfrak{H}^{\odot m} \otimes \mathfrak{H}^{\odot n-1}$ .

**Definition 2.4** (Complex Malliavin Derivatives). Let  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the set of all random variables of the form

$$f(\zeta^H(\varphi_1), \dots, \zeta^H(\varphi_m)), \quad (2.17)$$

where  $f \in C_{\uparrow}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  and  $\varphi_i \in \mathfrak{H}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Let  $F \in \mathcal{S}$  be given by (2.17). The complex Malliavin derivative of  $F$  is the element of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathfrak{H})$  defined by:

$$DF = \sum_{i=1}^m \partial_i f(\zeta^H(\varphi_1), \dots, \zeta^H(\varphi_m)) \varphi_i, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\bar{D}F = \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\partial}_i f(\zeta^H(\varphi_1), \dots, \zeta^H(\varphi_m)) \bar{\varphi}_i, \quad (2.19)$$

where  $\partial_j f = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} f(z_1, \dots, z_m)$ ,  $\bar{\partial}_j f = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} f(z_1, \dots, z_m)$  are the Wirtinger derivatives [4].

**Proposition 2.5.** Suppose that  $l = m + n$  and

$$\eta_r = \sum_{i+j=r} i \binom{m}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 i! j! f \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} h, \quad (2.20)$$

$$\xi_r = \sum_{i+j=r} j \binom{m}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 i! j! h \tilde{\otimes}_{j,i} f, \quad (2.21)$$

$$\nu_r = \sum_{i+j=r} i \binom{m}{i} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} \binom{m}{j} i! j! f \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} f, \quad (2.22)$$

then we have that

$$\text{Var}(\|DI_{m,n}(f)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2) = \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} [(l-r)!]^2 \|\eta_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-r))}}^2, \quad (2.23)$$

$$\text{Var}(\|\bar{D}I_{m,n}(f)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2) = \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} [(l-r)!]^2 \|\xi_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-r))}}^2, \quad (2.24)$$

$$\text{Var}(\langle DI_{m,n}(f), \overline{DI_{m,n}(f)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}) = \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} (2m-r)!(2n-r)! \|\nu_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(2(l-r))}}^2. \quad (2.25)$$

*Proof.* We need only to show (2.23) since the other two are similar. Denote  $l' = m + n - 1$ .

*Step 1: Using product formula.* By Theorem 12(D) of [10] and the product formula of complex Wiener-Itô multiple integrals (Theorem 3.2 of [5]), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{m^2} \|D \cdot (I_{m,n}(f))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \\ &= \|I_{m-1,n}(f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{[0,\infty)^2} du dv \phi(u, v) I_{m-1,n}(f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n)) \overline{I_{m-1,n}(f_v(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n))} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{m-1}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 i!j! \int_{[0,\infty)^2} du dv \phi(u, v) I_{l'-i-j, l'-i-j}(f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n) \otimes_{i,j} h^v(\vec{t}^n, \vec{s}^{m-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

where  $h^v(\vec{t}^n, \vec{s}^{m-1}) = \bar{f}_v(\vec{s}^{m-1}, \vec{t}^n) = \bar{f}(\vec{s}^{m-1}, v, \vec{t}^n)$  and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left( f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n) \otimes_{i,j} h^v(\vec{t}^n, \vec{s}^{m-1}) \right) (\vec{t}^{l'-i-j}, u, \vec{s}^{l'-i-j}, v) \\
&= \int_{[0,\infty)^{i+j}} d\vec{x}^i d\vec{x}'^i \phi(x_1, x'_1) \dots \phi(x_i, x'_i) f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1-i}, \vec{x}^i, \vec{s}^{n-j}, \vec{y}^j) \\
&\quad \times \bar{f}_v(s_{n-j+1}, \dots, s_{l'-j-i}, \vec{x}'^i, t_{m-i}, \dots, t_{l'-i-j}, \vec{y}'^j) \phi(y_1, y'_1) \dots \phi(y_j, y'_j) d\vec{y}^j d\vec{y}'^j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Then we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{m^2} \|D.(I_{m,n}(f))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2 = \sum_{r=0}^{l'} \int_{[0,\infty)^2} du dv \phi(u, v) I_{l'-r, l'-r}(g_r(u, v)). \tag{2.27}$$

where

$$g_k(u, v) = \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{m-1}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 i!j! f_u(\vec{t}^{m-1}, \vec{s}^n) \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} h^v(\vec{t}^n, \vec{s}^{m-1}). \tag{2.28}$$

Taking expectation to Eq.(2.27), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m^2} \mathbb{E}[\|D.(I_{m,n}(f))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] &= \int_{[0,\infty)^2} du dv \phi(u, v) g_{l'}(u, v) \\
&= (m-1)!n! \int_{[0,\infty)^2} du dv \phi(u, v) f_u \otimes_{m-1,n} h^v \\
&= (m-1)!n! \|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(m+n)}}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

*Step 2: Calculating variance.* It follows from Fubini's theorem and Itô's isometry that we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{m^4} \mathbf{E}[\|D.(I_{m,n}(f))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4] \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^{l'} \int_{[0,\infty)^4} du dv du' dv' \phi(u, v) \phi(u', v') [(l'-r)!]^2 \langle g_r(u, v), g_r(u', v') \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l'-r)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{[0,\infty)^4} du dv du' dv' \phi(u, v) \phi(u', v') \langle f_u \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} h^v, f_{u'} \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} h^{v'} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l'-k)}} \\
&= \langle f \tilde{\otimes}_{i+1,j} h, f \tilde{\otimes}_{i+1,j} h \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l'-k)}} = \|f \tilde{\otimes}_{i+1,j} h\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l'-k)}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{m^4} \mathbf{E}[\|D.(I_{m,n}(f))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^4] = \sum_{r=0}^{l'} [(l'-r)!]^2 \langle G_r, G_r \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l'-r)}},$$

where

$$G_k = \sum_{i+j=k} \binom{m-1}{i}^2 \binom{n}{j}^2 i!j! f(\vec{t}^m, \vec{s}^n) \tilde{\otimes}_{i+1,j} h(\vec{t}^n, \vec{s}^m). \tag{2.31}$$

Especially, for the term with  $k = l'$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |G_{l'}|^2 &= [(m-1)!n!]^2 |f \otimes_{m,n} h|^2 \\ &= [(m-1)!n!]^2 \|f\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes(m+n)}}^4 = \left( \frac{1}{m^2} \mathbf{E}[\|D \cdot (I_{m,n}(f))\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equality displayed into (2.30), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\|DI_{m,n}(f)\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2) &= m^4 \sum_{r'=0}^{l'-1} [(l' - r')!]^2 \langle G_{r'}, G_{r'} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l'-r')}} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} [(l-r)!]^2 \|\eta_r\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l-r)}}^2 \quad (\text{let } l = l' + 1, r = r' + 1), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta_r = m^2 G_{r'}$ , which implies the desired expressions (2.20)-(2.23).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Since (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) is well known, we need only to show the following implications:

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \Rightarrow (iv) \Rightarrow (i).$$

[(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)] Condition (ii) implies that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[|F_k|^4] - 2(\mathbb{E}[|F_k|^2])^2 - |E[F_k^2]|^2 \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.32)$$

which implies that Condition (iii) holds by (2.8)-(2.9), (see Lemma 2.3).

[(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv)] Suppose that Condition (iii) holds. The inequality (5.2) of [10] implies that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\|f_k \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} f_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l-i-j)}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \|f_k \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} h_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l-i-j)}} = \|h_k \tilde{\otimes}_{i,j} f_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2(l-i-j)}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, it follows from Minkowski's inequality and Proposition 2.5 that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\eta_r^k \rightarrow 0, \quad \xi_r^k \rightarrow 0, \quad \nu_r^k \rightarrow 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, l-1,$$

where  $\eta_r^k, \xi_r^k, \nu_r^k$  are given as Equations (2.20)-(2.22). By (2.23)-(2.25), we obtain that Condition (iv) holds.

[(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i)] We follow the idea of [11, Theorem 4], i.e. we combine Malliavin calculus and partial differential equations. Let

$$\varphi_k(z) = \mathbb{E}[e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}].$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial z} = \frac{i}{2} \mathbb{E}[\bar{F}_k \times e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}], \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_k}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{i}{2} \mathbb{E}[F_k \times e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}]. \end{cases} \quad (2.33)$$

By the assumption  $\mathbb{E}[|F_k|^2] \rightarrow \sigma^2$ ,  $\{F_k\}$  are tight. Now suppose that the subsequence  $\{F_{n_k}\}$  converges to  $G$  in law. Without ambiguity, we still denote  $\{F_{n_k}\}$  by  $\{F_k\}$ . By the hypercontractivity inequality of complex multiple Wiener-Itô integrals [5],  $\{|F_k|^r\}$  is uniformly integrable and thus  $\mathbb{E}[|G|^r] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|F_k|^r]$  for all  $r \geq 1$  [3, Theorem 5.4]. Therefore, the characteristic function  $\varphi(z) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\frac{i}{2}(\bar{z}G + z\bar{G})}]$  has continuous partial derivatives of any order.



It is not difficult to see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\bar{F}_k \times e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}] &= \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}[\overline{(\delta D)F_k} \times e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}] \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}[\langle D(e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}), DF_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}] \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \mathbb{E}[\langle e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}(\bar{z}DF_k + zD\bar{F}_k), DF_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}]\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2} \rightarrow e^{i(\bar{z}G + z\bar{G})/2}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Thus, Condition (iv) implies that as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[\langle e^{i(\bar{z}F_k + z\bar{F}_k)/2}(\bar{z}DF_k + zD\bar{F}_k), DF_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}] \\ \rightarrow (\bar{z} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|DF_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] + z \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\langle D\bar{F}_k, DF_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}])\varphi(z), \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C},\end{aligned}$$

since the scalar product in the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Omega)$  depends continuously on its factors. It follows from (2.29) and

$$\frac{1}{mn} \mathbb{E}[\langle DI_{m,n}(f), \overline{DI_{m,n}(f)} \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}] = \delta_{m,n} m!(m-1)! f \otimes_{m,m} f,$$

that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|DF_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] &= m \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|F_k\|_{\mathfrak{H}}^2] = m\sigma^2, \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\langle D\bar{F}_k, DF_k \rangle_{\mathfrak{H}}] &= m\delta_{m,n} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\mathbb{E}[F_k^2]} \\ &= m(c - ib)\delta_{m,n}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.33) that for any  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial z} = [\bar{z}\sigma^2 + z \cdot (c - ib)\delta_{m,n}]\varphi(z). \quad (2.34)$$

In the same way,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \bar{z}} = [\bar{z} \cdot (c + ib)\delta_{m,n} + z\sigma^2]\varphi(z). \quad (2.35)$$

Clearly,  $\varphi(0) = 1$ . Therefore,  $G$  is a bivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix  $C = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma^2 + c & b \\ b & \sigma^2 - c \end{bmatrix}$ . Prokhorov's theorem implies that  $\{F_k\}$  converges to a bivariate normal distribution with the desired covariance matrix  $C$ .  $\square$

### 3. ASYMPTOTIC CONSISTENCY AND NORMALITY

We need several propositions and lemmas before the proof of Theorem 1.1. The following lemma's proof is easy.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For any  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , we have that*

$$\int_{[0, \infty)^2} e^{-\gamma u_1 - \bar{\gamma} u_2} |u_1 - u_2|^{2H-2} du_1 du_2 = d, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $d$  is defined by (1.7).

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $Z$  be the solution to (1.1). As  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt \rightarrow a\alpha_H d, \quad a.s. \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Denote  $Y_t = \sqrt{a} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} d\zeta_s$ . It is easy to see that  $Y$  is centered complex Gaussian process. Itô's isometry implies that for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0$ ,

$$E[Y_{t+s} \bar{Y}_t] = \alpha_H a \int_{-s}^{\infty} dv_1 \int_0^{\infty} dv_2 e^{-\gamma(v_1+s)} e^{-\bar{\gamma}v_2} |v_1 - v_2|^{2H-2} = E[Y_s \bar{Y}_0]. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus  $Y_t$  is stationary. It is easy to check that as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $E[Y_s \bar{Y}_0] \rightarrow 0$  with the same order as  $|s|^{2H-2}$ , which implies that  $\{Y_t\}$  is ergodic [7, p78].

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= e^{-\gamma t} Z_0 + \sqrt{a} \int_0^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} d\zeta_s \\ &= e^{-\gamma t} Z_0 + \sqrt{a} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\gamma(t-s)} d\zeta_s - e^{-\gamma t} \sqrt{a} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\gamma s} d\zeta_s \\ &= Y_t + e^{-\gamma t} (Z_0 - Y_0). \end{aligned}$$

The ergodicity and Cauchy-Schwarz inequality imply that as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt &= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \left[ |Y_t|^2 - 2\Re(e^{-\gamma t} (Z_0 - Y_0) \bar{Y}_t) + e^{-2\lambda t} |Z_0 - Y_0|^2 \right] dt \\ &\rightarrow \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[|Y_T|^2] = a\alpha_H d, \quad a.s. \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is from Eq.(3.3) and Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

Denote

$$\psi_t(r, s) = e^{-\bar{\gamma}(r-s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \leq s \leq r \leq t\}} \quad \text{and} \quad X_t = I_{1,1}(\psi_t(r, s)). \quad (3.4)$$

**Lemma 3.3.** *As  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the sequence  $\{\xi_n := \frac{1}{n} X_n\}$  converges to zero almost sure.*

*Proof.* Denote  $F_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} X_T$ . Lemma 3.5 implies that  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|F_n|^2] < \infty$ . From the hypercontractivity of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals [5, Proposition 2.4], we see that  $\sup_n \mathbb{E}[|F_n|^4] < \infty$ . For any fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ , it follows from Chebyshev's inequality that

$$P(|\xi_n| > \varepsilon) = P(|F_n| > \sqrt{n}\varepsilon) \leq \frac{1}{n^2 \varepsilon^4} \mathbb{E}[|F_n|^4] \leq \frac{3^4}{n^2 \varepsilon^4} \mathbb{E}[|F_n|^2]^2.$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies that  $\{\xi_n\}$  converges to zero almost surely.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any real number  $p \geq 2$  and integer  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$B_n := \int_n^{n+1} \int_n^{n+1} \frac{|X_t - X_s|^p}{|t - s|^{2pH}} ds dt \quad (3.5)$$

*is finite. Moreover, for any real numbers  $p > 2, q > 1$  and integer  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}| \leq R_{p,q} n^{q/p}, \quad \forall t_1, t_2 \in [n, n+1], \quad (3.6)$$

*where  $R_{p,q}$  is a random constant independent of  $n$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $n \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq n+1$ , Itô's isometry implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|^2] &= \|\psi_{t_2}(r, s) - \psi_{t_1}(r, s)\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 = \left\| e^{-\bar{\gamma}(r-s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{t_1 < s \leq r \leq t_2\}} \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \\ &= \int_{t_1 < s_1 \leq r_1 \leq t_2} \int_{t_1 < s_2 \leq r_2 \leq t_2} e^{-\bar{\gamma}(r_1-s_1)-\bar{\gamma}(r_2-s_2)} \phi(r_1, r_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 dr_1 dr_2 \\ &\leq \int_{t_1 < s_1 \leq r_1 \leq t_2} \int_{t_1 < s_2 \leq r_2 \leq t_2} \phi(r_1, r_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) ds_1 ds_2 dr_1 dr_2 = (t_2 - t_1)^{4H}. \end{aligned}$$

The hypercontractivity of multiple Wiener-Itô integrals [5, Proposition 2.4] implies that for any  $p \geq 2$  and any  $n \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq n+1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|^p] &\leq (p-1)^p \mathbb{E}[|X_{t_2} - X_{t_1}|^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq (p-1)^p (t_2 - t_1)^{2pH}. \end{aligned}$$

Take  $\Psi(x) = x^p$  and  $\rho(x) = x^{2H}$ . The above inequality yields

$$\mathbb{E}(B_n) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_n^{n+1} \int_n^{n+1} \Psi \left( \frac{|X_t - X_s|}{\rho(|t-s|)} \right) ds dt \right] \leq (p-1)^p. \quad (3.7)$$

For any  $q > 1$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n^q} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_n)}{n^q} < \infty.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n^q} \leq R_{p,q} \quad \text{for some random constant } R_{p,q}.$$

Or we have

$$B_n \leq R_{p,q} n^q \quad \text{for all positive number } q > 1 \text{ and integer } n \geq 1. \quad (3.8)$$

An application of the Garsia-Rodemich-Rumsey inequality [8, Theorem 2.1] implies that

$$|X_t - X_s| \leq 8 \int_0^{|t-s|} \Psi^{-1} \left( \frac{4B_n}{u^2} \right) \rho'(u) du = 16H \frac{(4B_n)^{1/p}}{2H - \frac{2}{p}} |t-s|^{2H-\frac{2}{p}} \leq c_p B_n^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

This combined with (3.8) proves the proposition.  $\square$

Denote

$$h_t(r, s) = e^{-\gamma(-r+s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{0 \leq r \leq s \leq t\}}. \quad (3.9)$$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ . Then the following integrals are absolutely convergent*

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_H^2 T} \int_{[0,T]^4} \psi_T(t_1, s_1) \overline{\psi_T(t_2, s_2)} \phi(t_1, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) dt_1 dt_2 ds_1 ds_2 &= \sigma^2 \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_H^2 T} \int_{[0,T]^4} \psi_T(t_1, s_1) \overline{h_T(t_2, s_2)} \phi(t_1, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) dt_1 dt_2 ds_1 ds_2 &= c + ib, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma^2$  and  $c, b$  are defined by (1.5) and (1.6).

*Proof.* We only evaluate the first integral since the other one is similar. We divide the domain  $\{0 \leq s_1 \leq t_1 \leq T, 0 \leq s_2 \leq t_2 \leq T\}$  into six disjoint regions according to the distinct orders of  $s_1, t_1, s_2, t_2$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1 &= \{0 \leq s_2 \leq t_2 \leq s_1 \leq t_1 \leq T\}, & \Delta_2 &= \{0 \leq s_1 \leq t_1 \leq s_2 \leq t_2 \leq T\} \\ \Delta_3 &= \{0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T\}, & \Delta_4 &= \{0 \leq s_2 \leq s_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq T\}, \\ \Delta_5 &= \{0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq t_2 \leq t_1 \leq T\}, & \Delta_6 &= \{0 \leq s_2 \leq s_1 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T\}.\end{aligned}$$

We also denote  $I_i = \frac{1}{T} \int_{\Delta_i} \psi_T(t_1, s_1) \overline{\psi_T(t_2, s_2)} \phi(t_1, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) dt_1 dt_2 ds_1 ds_2$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$ .

Firstly, we consider  $I_1$ . It follows from L'Hospital rule that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_1 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T ds_1 \int_0^{s_1} dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} ds_2 e^{-\bar{\gamma}(T-s_1)} e^{-\gamma(t_2-s_2)} \phi(T, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2). \quad (3.10)$$

Making substitution  $a = t_2 - s_2$ ,  $b = s_1 - t_2$ ,  $c = T - s_1$ , we have that as  $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_1 &= \alpha_H^2 \lim_{\rightarrow \infty} \int_{a, b, c \geq 0, a+b+c \leq T} dadbdc e^{-\bar{\gamma}c} e^{-\gamma a} [(b+c)(a+b)]^{2H-2} \\ &= \alpha_H^2 \int_{[0, \infty)^3} dadbdc e^{-\bar{\gamma}c} e^{-\gamma a} [(b+c)(a+b)]^{2H-2}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

The above integral is absolutely convergent when  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ . In fact, since

$$(b+c)(a+b) \geq ac \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(b) + b^2 \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(b),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}& \left| \int_{[0, \infty)^3} dadbdc e^{-\bar{\gamma}c} e^{-\gamma a} [(b+c)(a+b)]^{2H-2} \right| \\ & \leq \int_{[0, \infty)^3} dadbdc e^{-\lambda c} e^{-\lambda a} [b^{4H-4} \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)} + (ac)^{2H-2} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}(b)] \\ & = \frac{1}{(3-4H)\lambda^2} + \left( \frac{\Gamma(2H-1)}{\lambda^{2H-1}} \right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the equality of Gamma function  $\int_0^\infty e^{-x\beta} x^{\alpha-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\beta^\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\Re \beta > 0$  into (3.11), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_{[0, \infty)^3} dadbdc e^{-\bar{\gamma}c} e^{-\gamma a} [(b+c)(a+b)]^{2H-2} \\ & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-2H)^2} \int_{[0, \infty)^5} dadbdc dx dy e^{-\bar{\gamma}c} e^{-\gamma a} e^{-x(b+c)} x^{1-2H} e^{-y(a+b)} y^{1-2H} \\ & = \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-2H)^2} \int_{[0, \infty)^2} dx dy \frac{(xy)^{1-2H}}{(x+y)(x+\bar{\gamma})(y+\gamma)}.\end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

It is easy to see that  $I_2 = I_1$ . In a similar way as for  $I_1$ , we have

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_3 = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_4 = \frac{\alpha_H^2 \Gamma^2(2H-1)}{2\lambda |\gamma|^{4H-2}}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_5 = \frac{\alpha_H^2 \Gamma^2(2H-1)}{2\lambda \bar{\gamma}^{4H-2}}, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} I_6 = \frac{\alpha_H^2 \Gamma^2(2H-1)}{2\lambda \gamma^{4H-2}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Finally, by adding (3.12)-(3.15) together, we get (1.5).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\psi_T, h_T$  be as in (3.4) and (3.9) respectively. As  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , we have that:*

$$\frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{0,1} \psi_T \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{1,0} \psi_T \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{0,1} h_T \rightarrow 0, \frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{1,0} h_T \rightarrow 0, \text{ in } \mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}. \quad (3.16)$$

*Proof.* When  $0 < t < s < T$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\alpha_H} |\psi_T \otimes_{0,1} \psi_T(t, s)| &= \left| \int_0^t du_1 \int_s^T du_2 e^{-\bar{\gamma}(t-u_1)} e^{-\bar{\gamma}(u_2-s)} |u_1 - u_2|^{2H-2} \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^t du_1 \int_s^T du_2 e^{-\lambda(t-u_1)} e^{-\lambda(u_2-s)} |u_2 - u_1|^{2H-2} \\ &\leq (s-t)^{2H-2} \int_0^t du_1 \int_s^T du_2 e^{-\lambda(t-u_1)} e^{-\lambda(u_2-s)} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} (s-t)^{2H-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

When  $s < t$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t du_1 \int_s^T du_2 e^{-\lambda(t-u_1)} e^{-\lambda(u_2-s)} |u_2 - u_1|^{2H-2} \\ &= \left( \int_0^s du_1 \int_s^T du_2 + \int_s^t du_1 \int_s^t du_2 + \int_s^t du_1 \int_t^T du_2 \right) e^{-\lambda(t-u_1)} \\ &\quad e^{-\lambda(u_2-s)} |u_2 - u_1|^{2H-2} = I_1(T) + I_2(T) + I_3(T). \end{aligned}$$

For the first term, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(T) &= e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_0^s du_1 \int_{s-u_1}^{T-u_1} dz e^{-\lambda z} z^{2H-2} \\ &= e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_0^T dz e^{-\lambda z} z^{2H-2} \int_{0 \vee (s-z)}^{s \wedge (T-z)} du_1 \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_0^T dz e^{-\lambda z} z^{2H-2} [s - (s-z)] \\ &\leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \frac{\Gamma(2H)}{\lambda^{2H}} \leq c_{\lambda, H} |t-s|^{2H-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{\lambda, H}$  is a constant independent of  $T$ . For the second term, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(T) &= 2e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_s^t du_1 \int_s^{u_1} du_2 e^{\lambda(u_1-u_2)} (u_1 - u_2)^{2H-2} \\ &= 2e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_s^t du_1 \int_0^{u_1-s} dz e^{\lambda z} z^{2H-2} \\ &= 2e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_0^{t-s} dz e^{\lambda z} z^{2H-2} (t-s-z) \leq c_{\lambda, H} |t-s|^{2H-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{\lambda, H}$  is a constant independent of  $T$  and the last inequality is by means of L'Hospital rule.

In fact, when  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ , then we have that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_0^x dz e^{\lambda z} z^{2H-2} (x-z)}{e^{\lambda x} x^{2H-2}} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}.$$

For the third term, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_3(T) &= e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_s^t du_1 \int_{t-u_1}^{T-u_1} dz e^{-\lambda z} z^{2H-2} \\
&= e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_0^T dz e^{-\lambda z} z^{2H-2} \int_{s \vee (t-z)}^{t \wedge (T-z)} du_1 \\
&\leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \int_0^{t-s} dz e^{-\lambda z} z^{2H-2} [t - (t-z)] \\
&\leq e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \frac{\Gamma(2H)}{\lambda^{2H}} \leq c_{\lambda,H} |t-s|^{2H-2},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{\lambda,H}$  is a constant independent of  $T$ . Thus, we have that

$$\left| \int_0^t du_1 \int_s^T du_2 e^{-\gamma(t-u_1)} e^{-\gamma(u_2-s)} |u_1 - u_2|^{2H-2} \right| \leq c_{\lambda,H} |t-s|^{2H-2}. \quad (3.18)$$

This inequality together with the inequality (3.17) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{0,1} \psi_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 &\leq \frac{\alpha_H^2 c_{\lambda,H}^2}{T^2} \|\phi\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 \\
&= \frac{\alpha_H^2 c_{\lambda,H}^2}{T^2} \int_{[0,T]^4} dt_1 dt_2 ds_1 ds_2 \phi(t_1, s_1) \phi(t_2, s_2) \phi(t_1, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2).
\end{aligned}$$

As  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , L'Hospital rule and the symmetric property of the above integrand imply that when  $H \in (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{0,1} \psi_T \right\|_{\mathfrak{H}^{\otimes 2}}^2 &\leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\alpha_H^2 c_{\lambda,H}^2}{T} \int_{[0,T]^3} dt_2 ds_1 ds_2 \phi(T, s_1) \phi(t_2, s_2) \phi(T, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\alpha_H^2 c_{\lambda,H}^2}{T^{6-8H}} \int_{[0,1]^3} dt_2 ds_1 ds_2 \phi(1, s_1) \phi(t_2, s_2) \phi(1, t_2) \phi(s_1, s_2) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, it is easy to obtain that  $\psi_T \otimes_{1,0} \psi_T = f_T \otimes_{0,1} f_T$ . Thus  $\frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{1,0} \psi_T \rightarrow 0$  also holds as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . In addition, it follows from Lemma 5.4 of web-only Appendix of [9] that both  $\frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{1,0} h_T \rightarrow 0$  and  $\frac{1}{T} \psi_T \otimes_{0,1} h_T \rightarrow 0$  hold.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Without loss of generality, we can suppose that  $Z_0 = 0$ . By (1.3), we obtain that

$$\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma = \sqrt{a} \frac{\frac{1}{T} X_T}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt}. \quad (3.19)$$

By Proposition 3.2, we need only to show  $\frac{1}{T} X_T$  converges to zero almost sure as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Clearly, we have that

$$\left| \frac{1}{T} X_T \right| \leq \frac{1}{T} |X_T - X_n| + \frac{n}{T} \frac{1}{n} |X_n|. \quad (3.20)$$

where  $n = [T]$  is the biggest integer less than or equal to a real number  $T$ . Using Lemma 3.3 and since  $n/T$  is bounded, we see that the second term in (3.20) goes to 0 almost surely as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

By Proposition 3.4, we see that the first term in (3.20) is bounded by  $\frac{1}{T}R_{p,q}n^{q/p}$  for any  $p \geq 2$  and  $q > 1$ . Choosing  $q < p$  we see that the first term in (3.20) goes to 0 as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.1.

Now we turn to the proof of the second part. Denote  $F_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}X_T$ . Clearly,

$$\bar{F}_T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_{[0,T]^2} e^{-\gamma(-r+s)} \mathbf{1}_{\{r \leq s\}} d\zeta_r d\bar{\zeta}_s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} I_{1,1}(h_T(r, s)). \quad (3.21)$$

From Theorem 1.3, Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, we see

$$F_T \text{ converges in law to } \zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \frac{\sigma_H^2}{2} \mathbf{C}),$$

where  $\mathbf{C}$  as in Theorem 1.1. We write Equation (3.19) as

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma) = \sqrt{a} \frac{F_T}{\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T |Z_t|^2 dt}.$$

Therefore, it follows from the above fact, Proposition 3.2, and Slutsky's theorem that  $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\gamma}_T - \gamma)$  converges in distribution to bivariate Gaussian law  $\mathcal{N}(0, \frac{1}{2d^2a} \mathbf{C})$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** Y. Chen is supported by the China Scholarship Council (201608430079); Y. Hu is partially supported by the Simons Foundation (209206); Z. Wang is supported by the Mathematical Tianyuan Foundation of China (11526117) and the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation (LQ16A010006).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Arató M. *Linear stochastic systems with constant coefficients. A statistical approach*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, 45. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982.
- [2] Arató M.; Kolmogorov A.N.; Sinai Ya.G. An estimate of the parameters of a complex stationary Gaussian Markov process, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 146, 747-750 (1962).
- [3] Billingsley P. *Convergence of probability measures*, 2nd Ed., A Wiley Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons. Inc., New York, 1999.
- [4] Campese S. Fourth moment theorems for complex Gaussian approximation, preprint. arXiv:1511.00547. (2015).
- [5] Chen Y. Product formula, Independence and Asymptotic Moment-Independence for Complex Multiple Wiener-Itô Integrals. preprint. arXiv:1412.1877. (2014).
- [6] Chen Y.; Liu Y. On the fourth moment theorem for the complex multiple Wiener-Itô integrals, Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum. Probab. Relat. Top., to appear, 2016. arXiv:1408.1817.
- [7] Dym H.; McKean H. P. *Gaussian processes, function theory, and the inverse spectral problem*. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, Vol. 31. Academic Press, New York-London, 1976.
- [8] Hu Y. *Analysis on Gaussian Spaces*, New Jersey: World Scientific, 2017.
- [9] Hu Y.; Nualart D. Parameter estimation for fractional Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, Statist. Probab. Lett., 80 (2010), 1030-1038.
- [10] Itô K. Complex Multiple Wiener Integral, Japan J.Math. 22, 63-86 (1953).
- [11] Nualart D.; Ortiz-Latorre S. Central limit theorems for multiple stochastic integrals and Malliavin calculus, Stochastic Process. Appl., Vol.118(4): 614-628, (2008).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, XIANGTAN, 411201, HUNAN, CHINA

*E-mail address:* `chenyong77@gmail.com`; `zhishi@pku.org.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, 66045, KANSAS, USA

*E-mail address:* `yhu@ku.edu`

SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, NINGBO UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, NINGBO 315211, ZHEJIANG, CHINA

*E-mail address:* `wangzhi1006@hotmail.com`